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1. Introduction and Review

1.1 AIRS advantages and limitations

The Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS), launched aboard NASA’s EOS Aqua spacecraft on May 4, 2002, is a grating array spectrometer having 2378 channels sensitive in the range 3.7 to 15.4 microns with some gaps. The spectral resolution ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda$) is about 1200. A combination of a design philosophy having radiometric accuracy as a foremost goal, cooled and temperature-controlled spectrometer hardware (including most of the optics), and thorough pre-flight calibration have made the AIRS a superb instrument that produces high quality radiance data [Strow et al., 2003]. AIRS has completed twelve years in routine operations at the end of August 2014. The instrument remains healthy and it is hoped that it will continue to operate and produce high quality data for several more years. This long data record opens a door for using AIRS data for climate change analyses.

Because AIRS is a grating array spectrometer, not a Fourier transform spectrometer, each of the 2378 channels is independent of the others. Each channel is separately calibrated, and has the potential for noise behavior that is different from the other channels—even neighboring ones. In fact, the noise behavior of a channel can change or a channel could even stop functioning suddenly, for instance due to a radiation hit, without any other channel being affected. Channel noise variability, if unaccounted for, complicates error estimates, noise estimates, and cross-instrument calibration.

Although the instrument’s in-flight performance has far exceeded its specifications, which were developed with temperature and humidity profile measurement in mind, the originally envisioned use of AIRS was for non-climate-related studies of atmospheric phenomena and for improved weather prediction. Because of its exceptional performance the AIRS data is now being used for climate studies. AIRS climate data records can be improved if the deviations from ideal performance are accounted for.

AIRS has seventeen detector modules spread across its focal plane. The hardware design was simplified by permitting small gaps in the frequency coverage between some modules, and small overlaps in coverage between others. These gaps and overlaps complicate the task of integrating the spectrum over the typical passband of interference filter radiometers.

Because of the large frequency range of the entire instrument, each detector module is made from different material having different properties, and unique read-out integrated circuits (ROIC). The shortwave modules include circuits to remove spikes resulting from radiation hits, while these spikes are obvious in the longwave modules. Some channels exhibit non-Gaussian noise including “pops” (temporary changes in output level) and cold-scene noise (scene-dependent noise larger at lower signal levels) [Weiler et al., 2005]. The AIRS detectors and their ROIC’s have different susceptibilities to radiation hits and to the slow build up of total radiation dosage throughout the mission. Thus, a channel that has exhibited very low noise for years can suddenly undergo a noise increase. It is also possible for a channel that has been affected by a radiation hit to recover after a period of hours or days. So noise can vary independently over time from channel to channel.

Each channel occupies its own physical space on the focal plane. That implies that slight changes in channel frequency can occur, due primarily to changes in temperature gradients within the spectrometer optical train. The AIRS spectrometer’s temperature is tightly controlled at one location by interactions between a radiator (cooling) and a heater. But small changes in internal gradients can occur and result in very small channel frequency shifts with time.
To maximize its utility as a source of climate data, AIRS instrument must be capable of being accurately cross-calibrated with other instruments so that lengthy multi-instrument records may be generated. In this document, we will describe the methodology and procedure that will produce continuous AIRS spectra that can be conveniently used for instrument cross-calibration and studies of climate variability. The final output is a prescription for producing Level 1C radiances that will become publicly available in a future version of the AIRS science product generation software (currently in Version 6.0) operating at the Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC).

Level 1A products contain raw detector counts. Level 1B products consist of radiometrically calibrated radiances [Gaiser et al., 2003]. Both Level 1A and Level 1B products have been produced since the start of routine instrument operations on September 1, 2002. Level 1C consists of continuous spectra, in which dead or highly noisy channels have been marked and their radiances corrected or replaced using well-behaved correlated AIRS channels. Furthermore, the small gaps in the spectrum are filled in with reasonable values calculated from the same spectrum, and the discrepancies of overlapped channels between adjacent modules are corrected and the overlapped channels are removed.

1.2 Motivation for the production of Level 1C data

An ideal spectrum should have the following properties:

1) All frequencies are included (at the design resolution) with no gaps and no overlapped spectral regions.

2) All channels have only Gaussian noise, so that outliers do not interfere with integration over the pass band or interpolation during resampling.

3) Adjacent channels are radiometrically and noise independent, with adjacent-channel correlations limited to Nyquist sampling and scene correlation.

4) The channel frequencies are fixed in time.

The AIRS L1B product deviates from the ideal spectrum described above.

Grating array spectrometers, by their very nature, perform extremely well as far as point #3 above is concerned. However, the radiometric and noise independence of the channels means that the other goals are not met automatically, and so must be handled explicitly. In this document, we describe each step towards a final Level 1C product that will fulfill the ideal. At first, we just handle points #1 and #2 above (spectral gaps and overlaps and variable and/or non-Gaussian noise). Point #4 will be handled last. Note that, because of the fact that the AIRS spectrometer is temperature controlled, point #4 (channel frequencies vs. time) has only a minor effect on AIRS data quality. The observed instrument frequency stability far exceeds the specification. But when the more stringent requirements for climate records are considered, it becomes clear that frequency shifts do need to be handled eventually.

Once “ideal” spectra have been produced, the use of AIRS data for many studies will be simplified and errors more easily estimated. Most importantly, it will be possible to cross-calibrate AIRS with other climate instruments more easily and reliably than that can be done today.

Figure 1 shows a typical L1B product, where noisy or dead channels are indicated by the red dots at the bottom of the plot. The 17 modules and their location in the spectrum are also labeled in this plot. Of the 2378 AIRS spectral channels, about 58 have no response (dead), and an additional ~35
channels (varying with time of the measurements) have noise exceeding 2 K (the nominal noise is 0.07-0.6 K). The presence of these ~95 channels is overemphasized in Figure 1. Table 1 lists the gaps and overlapped regions of the spectrum that will be discussed in detail in section 4.

Figure 1. AIRS nighttime spectrum on January 1, 2006. The red dots at the bottom of the plot are indicators of dead or bad channels. M-* mark the spectral ranges of the detector arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Frequency Range (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>681.99-687.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>781.88-789.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>903.78-911.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1046.20-1056.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1136.63-1216.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1272.59-1284.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1443.07-1460.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1527.00-1541.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1613.86-2181.49</td>
<td>Large gap (will not be filled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2557.41-2558.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap</td>
<td>727.83-728.36</td>
<td>M-11/M-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>851.20-852.72</td>
<td>M-08/M-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>973.48-974.63</td>
<td>M-06/M-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1337.64-1339.18</td>
<td>M-03/M-04c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2301.72-2320.79</td>
<td>M-01b/M-02b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2545.19-2565.21</td>
<td>M-01a/M-02a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are five issues that present unnecessary complications to the user of the L1B data:

1. AIRS has 2378 channels. Each channel is characterized by a Spectral Response Function (SRF) and Noise equivalent delta Temperature (NEdT) at the reference temperature of 250 K. The median NEdT is 0.2 K. We define any channel as "bad" if it has NEdT > 2K. There are ~50 channels that were already dead (with -9999 flag value in radiance) during prelaunch testing or were identified as bad at the start of routine operations in September
2002. The number of channels identified as bad has slowly increased over the past over the past 12 years at the rate of about one per year. The channels are checked each day during the routine calibration procedures. In addition, the character of the noise of some channels is non-Gaussian, resulting in “popping” or “spiking”.

2. Each channel has slightly different spatial response, so in highly inhomogeneous scenes, like the edges of cold clouds, there can be significant radiometric differences between channels that are radiometrically identical under spatially homogeneous conditions.

3. There are small gaps between the modules. The region from 1614 cm\(^{-1}\) to 2181 cm\(^{-1}\) that covers the shortwave part of the water band is not considered a gap.

4. The L1B channel sequence is not increasing monotonically in the overlapped regions.

5. The radiances in L1B are correct, but the SRF centroid frequencies may vary by up to 5 parts per million of frequency (ppmf) from the nominal frequencies due to diurnal and seasonal effects (Figure 2). The true frequencies are known to within 1 ppmf. The difference between the nominal and the actual frequencies causes errors in the interpretation of the radiances, which are very small compared to the random noise, but if ignored can produces artificial trends of as much as 10 mK/year in some channels.

![Graph showing monthly averaged AIRS instrument nighttime frequency shift from 2003 to 2011 in latitude range from -10 degree to 10 degree.](image)

Figure 2. Monthly averaged AIRS instrument nighttime frequency shift from 2003 to 2011 in latitude range from -10 degree to 10 degree.

The L1C V6 v.3.0 product will address the first four items.

### 1.3 Level-1C Approach
Level-1C is conceptually divided into 2 stages: Identification and replacement of bad channels and gap filling. The replacement stage is concerned only with the 2378 channels present in the L1B product. It determines which L1B values are of lower quality and provides synthesized values, producing a repaired 2378-channel spectrum. Gap filling then removes overlap channels and provides values for synthetic channels in the instrument gaps, producing the final 2645-channel spectrum.

2. Identification and Replacement of Bad Channels

This section describes the identification of bad channels and the bad channel replacement algorithm. The process uses Principal Component Reconstruction (PCR). A set of 15,000 spectra with 2378 channels (the training set) was selected from several million spectra created by Sergio DeSouza-Machado. Details are included in Appendix B. The calculations of the training set assumed perfect radiometric and spectral calibration for all 2378 channels. This training set was used to define a fixed set of 2378x100 EigenVectors (EVs). In principle, each spectrum is then transformed into 100 Components (PC), and the spectrum, BTpcr, is reconstructed as the sum of the linear combination of the products of PCs and the EVs. Because of the presence of bad channels, an additional step, referred to as “buddy replacement” is required ahead of the PC reconstruction. Details are found in Section 2.2.

The major steps are:

- Preselection of bad channels.
- First-order replacement of these bad channels using the buddy system.
- PC reconstruction of the spectrum
- Replacement of the first order identified bad channels by their PCR values.
- Identification scene-specific impact from scene inhomogeneity (Cij) and PCR replacement of Cij impacted channels.
- Final scan the spectrum for outliers and their replacement by their PCR values.

Typically 250 of the 2378 channels are replaced by their PCR values, but globally for 1% of the spectra in the highest scene contrast areas up to 400 channels may be replaced. Channels which are PCR replaced are identified for each spectrum (Section 2.5.2).

2.1 Selection of Channels to be replaced using the Buddy System

Some channels are preselected for replacement either based on information available at the start of the L1C process; others are selected after PC reconstruction based on the mismatch between the observed spectrum and the PC reconstructed one. This section details the initial determination. This step is important because it is the only determinant of which channels will be replaced before PC reconstruction, and PC reconstruction becomes distorted if there are too many bad observations in its input.

As mentioned above, for each observation typically about ~250 of 2378 channels are preselected for replacement and others are marked as ‘suspect’, which excludes them from use in replacing other channels and lowers their threshold for dynamic replacement. This process relies on noise levels, error flags, and radiances from the Level-1B product, so in principle different channels could be preselected for replacement in each spectrum, but in operations we see mostly the same
channels, including ~50 permanently dead or noisy from launch through the first 12 years of operations. Technical details of static replacement selection can be found in Appendix D.

The final dynamical detection of "bad" or "noisy" channels with the help of principal component analysis will be discussed later.

When channels are replaced, the reason is marked in the L1C product in a field named “L1cSynthReason”. Channels that are only suspect are not flagged in the output.

### 2.2 First order replacement of bad channels using the Buddy System

In order to fill bad channel values with reasonable values we use the Buddy System. “Buddies” from the same spectrum (defined as the most correlated channels using minimum standard deviation) are found in the training set described in Appendix A. Note that the “buddy” channels always come from the same detector module (Figure 1) as the channel that is being replaced. These channels are sensitive to nearly the same combination of geophysical parameters as the channel under replacement.

In order to qualify as a potential buddy, a channel has to meet tighter quality requirements than channels on the routine replacement list.

The brightness temperature (BT) of the bad channel is replaced by a BT calculated from the BTs of most correlated channels in the list of potential buddies. The correlated channel replacement list is calculated based on minimization of

\[
\delta T(k,j) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (T_{ij} - T_{ik})^2}}{n}
\]

where \( n \) is number of spectra in the training set, \( i \) is spectrum index, range from 1 to \( n \), \( j \) is channel number range from 1 to 2378 and \( k \) is channel to be filled range from 1 to 2378.

The \( \delta T(k,j) \) represents the averaged deviation of each individual channel \( j \) from the filled channel \( k \). For each channel \( k \), \( \delta T(k,j) \) values are sorted in the ascending order and the first 100 \( j \)s (with the least deviation from channel \( k \)) are selected and will be used to replace or fill the bad channels. This process is repeated for ten 15-K scene brightness temperate ranges from [220, 235) to [355, 370) K. The resulting 100 \( j \)s (integer array size 2378x100) and the associated deviations (double array size 2378x100) and biases are calculated offline and stored in an ancillary file, read at runtime.

The brightness temperature of the channel to be filled or replaced then is the average of the four best correlated of the useable channels weighted by the deviations from the filled or replaced channel. In Level 1C implementation, the four most correlated channels are used in the final calculation of the brightness temperature \( T_k \) of the replaced channels (Eq. 2).
\[
T_k = \frac{\sum_j (T_j + fB_r(k, j))}{\sum_j 1 + \delta T_r(k, j)}
\]

where \( j \) is the channel number range from 1 to 2378, \( k \) is a channel to be filled range from 1 to 2378, \( r \) is the brightness temperature range from 1 to 10, \( B \) is the brightness temperature bias, \( f \) is a bias scale factor.

The use of a bias allows us to find much better matches than otherwise, but there is a catch. The degree of bias between neighboring channels is highly scene dependent: the more clouds, the less spectral contrast. Therefore, for each channel to be replaced and in each spectrum, we first determine a bias scale factor \( f \). Then \( f \) is selected from among nine possible values \([0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, 2.00]\) by finding the value that minimizes the penalized standard deviation of the fill value candidate \( T_j + fB_r(k, j) \).

The penalty function requires that the standard deviation be 4 times smaller for the extreme bias scale factors of 0.00 and 2.00 than for the nominal value of 1.00, which makes the process favor using the nominal value. The penalty factors for each \( f \) are \([4.00, 3.25, 2.50, 1.75, 1.0, 1.75, 2.50, 3.25, 4.00]\).

Figure 3 shows a typical AIRS spectrum after the “buddy-system” replacement of dead or noisy channels. All visible outliers are suppressed and reasonably good values are provided for missing channels.
2.3 Principal Component Reconstruction of AIRS spectra

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) involves a mathematical procedure that transforms correlated variables into uncorrelated variables called principal components. PCA is a simple, non-parametric method of extracting information on correlation of data points from complicated data sets. Any data can be expressed as linear combinations of EigenVectors (EVs) of the correlation matrix and Principal Components (PCs), where the first PC associated with the first EV accounts for most of the variability in the data, and each succeeding PC accounts for remaining variability. A training set was created (Appendix B) to create the fixed set of EVs. As the number of EVs used to reconstruct the spectra increases, the reconstructed spectra will increasingly match the observed spectra, eventually including noise artifacts. We use 100 EVs in our PCA reconstruction, i.e. EV set has dimension 2378x100. Each spectrum, BT, is decomposed into 100 EVs, forming the PCA reconstructed spectrum called PCR.

The PCA reconstruction is applied after filling the gaps using the Buddy System. All channels preselected for replacement are replaced by their PCR values and are marked in the L1C product in a field named “L1cSynthReason”.

2.4 Dynamical Replacement

2.4.1 Dynamical Replacement for scene inhomogeneity effects (Cij)

Each AIRS channel has a slightly different spatial response. This can lead to cases where the channels do not work together to make coherent spectra, even though each channel is making a valid measurement for the scene it is viewing. The “Inhomo850” indicator flags scenes where spatial inhomogeneity is an issue and in these cases extra channels may be replaced to ameliorate the effect. Details of this are contained in Appendix E.

2.4.2 General Dynamic Replacement

For all channels and scenes there is a dBt check to eliminate outliers. In the majority of spectra no channels are replaced in this step, but it is crucial for eliminating rare cosmic ray or solar energetic particles hits or otherwise undetected detector problems.

An ancillary table provides thresholds for each channel and each 10K-BT range, designed to be 1.25 times the level at which 1 in 1,000 observations from a good channel would be flagged as an outlier of the Gaussian noise distribution. The minimum dBt threshold is set to 2.0 K and several additional adjustments are made to compensate for spectral regions where PCA can perform poorly because of problems in its training set.
• Thresholds are raised by an additional factor of 1.5 for the photoconductive arrays M-12 and M-11 (650-728.4 cm⁻¹) because these detectors are of high quality and training in this region is relatively poor.
• For M-09, M-08, and M-07 (789-974 cm⁻¹) threshold is set to 2.0 because there are many poor channels and noise dominates training errors in this region.
• In the ozone band (1040-1058 cm⁻¹) threshold is set to 4.0 K because of poor training.

For channels marked suspect in section 2.1 the threshold is lowered 20%. All channels for which |dBT| exceeds the threshold, the reconstructed value is substituted and the reason for the substitution is marked in L1cSynthReason.

2.4.3 Broad feature exclusion for dynamic replacement

When channels differ from the values predicted in the reconstructed radiances by amounts exceeding the threshold, the most common causes are:
• Scene inhomogeneity effects (Cij)
• Instrument noise is non-Gaussian noise with extreme tails in the noise distribution
• Radiation strike

In all of these cases it is desirable to replace the observed L1B radiance with the reconstructed value. But there are also cases where the L1B observed radiances are more correct than the reconstruction. These are cases where the actual scene has geophysical properties not represented in the training set or where the spectroscopy or instrument parameters used in the forward model are not correct. Known issues include CaCO₃ and other desert surface emissivity features, and variable trace gasses which did not vary in the training set, including CO and SO₂.

So |dBT| exceeding the threshold does not automatically trigger replacement. One common feature of situations where the reconstruction falsely flags channels for replacement is that several neighboring channels are affected, usually with the same sign. Instrument noise and radiation strikes on the other hand tend to affect isolated channels. Level-1C therefore calculates a metric of how many neighboring channels in the same spectrum have disagreement between observed and reconstructed spectra. A small percentage of cases with high “neighborliness” metric are excluded from dynamic replacement. In testing this is sufficient to preserve signals from CO, SO₂, CaCO₃ and others. There is still some danger that some gasses have very narrow spectral lines and may be effectively removed by the dynamic replacement process.

The neighborliness metric looks at dBT for the 20 nearest channels and assigns points for close channels that also deviate, and a bonus for those with the same sign. It is normalized so that 100% corresponds to all nearby channels deviating significantly with the same sign. Any channel which is a candidate for dynamic replacement but which also has a neighborliness metric over 10% is preserved.

3. Spectrum Gap Fill and Overlap Elimination

The UMBC group has simulated the AIRS spectra without any gaps as shown in Figure 4 with a typical spectrum showing the filled gaps. A buddy-system algorithm simpler than the one discussed in section 2.2 is used here to get the best-correlated channels for the gap channels. Overlap channels are eliminated as part of the same process. In the overlap regions we select the
better-behaved channels. 64 channels are eliminated in this way. There are 331 new gap channels, which are from the UMBC full spectra model. The new gap frequencies are listed in Table 2. The total number of channels increases to 2645 after the gaps are filled.

Figure 4. Sample spectrum from the full spectrum training set. The black lines represent the existing channels, and the red lines are the gap channels. Note that the channels with frequencies between 2160 and 2181 cm⁻¹ are not filled in the L1C product.

Table 2. AIRS L1C Synthetic Channel Frequencies (cm⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gap #1</th>
<th>Gap #2</th>
<th>Gap #3</th>
<th>Gap #4</th>
<th>Gap #5</th>
<th>Gap #6</th>
<th>Gap #7</th>
<th>Gap #8</th>
<th>Gap #9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>682.25</td>
<td>782.22</td>
<td>904.12</td>
<td>1046.65</td>
<td>1137.16</td>
<td>1159.59</td>
<td>1182.03</td>
<td>1204.98</td>
<td>1227.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682.51</td>
<td>782.56</td>
<td>904.46</td>
<td>1047.10</td>
<td>1137.68</td>
<td>1160.11</td>
<td>1182.55</td>
<td>1205.50</td>
<td>1227.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>682.76</td>
<td>782.89</td>
<td>904.80</td>
<td>1047.55</td>
<td>1138.20</td>
<td>1160.64</td>
<td>1183.07</td>
<td>1206.02</td>
<td>1227.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683.02</td>
<td>783.23</td>
<td>905.14</td>
<td>1048.00</td>
<td>1138.73</td>
<td>1161.16</td>
<td>1183.59</td>
<td>1206.55</td>
<td>1227.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683.27</td>
<td>783.56</td>
<td>905.48</td>
<td>1048.45</td>
<td>1139.25</td>
<td>1161.68</td>
<td>1184.11</td>
<td>1207.07</td>
<td>1227.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683.53</td>
<td>783.90</td>
<td>906.32</td>
<td>1049.80</td>
<td>1139.77</td>
<td>1162.20</td>
<td>1184.63</td>
<td>1207.59</td>
<td>1227.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683.78</td>
<td>784.23</td>
<td>906.75</td>
<td>1049.45</td>
<td>1140.29</td>
<td>1162.72</td>
<td>1185.16</td>
<td>1208.11</td>
<td>1228.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684.04</td>
<td>784.57</td>
<td>906.94</td>
<td>1049.79</td>
<td>1140.81</td>
<td>1163.25</td>
<td>1185.68</td>
<td>1208.63</td>
<td>1228.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684.29</td>
<td>784.90</td>
<td>906.83</td>
<td>1050.24</td>
<td>1141.33</td>
<td>1163.77</td>
<td>1186.20</td>
<td>1209.15</td>
<td>1229.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684.54</td>
<td>785.24</td>
<td>907.18</td>
<td>1050.69</td>
<td>1141.86</td>
<td>1164.29</td>
<td>1186.72</td>
<td>1209.68</td>
<td>1229.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684.80</td>
<td>785.58</td>
<td>907.51</td>
<td>1051.14</td>
<td>1142.38</td>
<td>1164.81</td>
<td>1187.24</td>
<td>1210.20</td>
<td>1230.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685.05</td>
<td>785.91</td>
<td>907.85</td>
<td>1051.59</td>
<td>1142.90</td>
<td>1165.33</td>
<td>1187.76</td>
<td>1210.72</td>
<td>1231.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685.31</td>
<td>786.25</td>
<td>908.19</td>
<td>1052.04</td>
<td>1143.42</td>
<td>1165.85</td>
<td>1188.29</td>
<td>1211.24</td>
<td>1231.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685.56</td>
<td>786.58</td>
<td>908.53</td>
<td>1052.49</td>
<td>1143.94</td>
<td>1166.38</td>
<td>1188.81</td>
<td>1211.76</td>
<td>1232.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>685.82</td>
<td>786.92</td>
<td>908.87</td>
<td>1052.94</td>
<td>1144.46</td>
<td>1166.90</td>
<td>1189.33</td>
<td>1212.28</td>
<td>1232.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686.07</td>
<td>787.25</td>
<td>909.21</td>
<td>1053.39</td>
<td>1144.99</td>
<td>1167.42</td>
<td>1189.85</td>
<td>1212.81</td>
<td>1233.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686.33</td>
<td>787.59</td>
<td>909.54</td>
<td>1053.83</td>
<td>1145.51</td>
<td>1167.94</td>
<td>1190.37</td>
<td>1213.33</td>
<td>1233.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686.58</td>
<td>787.92</td>
<td>909.88</td>
<td>1054.28</td>
<td>1146.03</td>
<td>1168.46</td>
<td>1190.90</td>
<td>1213.85</td>
<td>1234.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>686.84</td>
<td>788.26</td>
<td>910.22</td>
<td>1054.73</td>
<td>1146.55</td>
<td>1168.98</td>
<td>1191.42</td>
<td>1214.37</td>
<td>1235.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687.09</td>
<td>788.60</td>
<td>910.56</td>
<td>1055.18</td>
<td>1147.07</td>
<td>1169.51</td>
<td>1191.94</td>
<td>1214.89</td>
<td>1236.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687.35</td>
<td>788.93</td>
<td>910.90</td>
<td>1055.63</td>
<td>1147.59</td>
<td>1170.03</td>
<td>1192.46</td>
<td>1215.41</td>
<td>1236.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIRS L1C Algorithm Theoretical Basis
11
The buddy algorithm used for this gap filling takes advantage of the fact that it is operating on the reconstructed spectrum, and so does not have to be dynamically adjusted for bad channels. It is a simple 4-channel substitution. For each of the 331 gap channels we have 4 channel numbers [ch1, ch2, ch3, ch4]. There are 3 coefficients a1, a2, a3 giving weights for the first three channels. The weight for the 4th channel is calculated as $a_4 = 1.0 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3$ so that the sum of the four coefficients is guaranteed to be 1.0. The BT for the gap channel then is simply:

$$\text{BT} = a_1 \times \text{BT(ch1)} + a_2 \times \text{BT(ch2)} + a_3 \times \text{BT(ch3)} + a_4 \times \text{BT(ch4)}$$

### 4. Summary

An algorithm has been presented that corrects for the effect of static and dynamic (time variable and/or scene dependent) non-Gaussian noise in AIRS L1B products. The algorithm fills small gaps in these spectra and creates spectra monotonic in frequency with only one (instrument related) gap between 1650 and 2175 cm$^{-1}$. This creates AIRS Level 1C calibrated and synthesized spectra with 2645 channels. This product will be made available to the public in a future version of the AIRS science software that runs at the GES DISC. Typically 2150 of the 2378 AIRS L1B channels simply copied into the 2645 channel L1C spectra. Bad L1B channels are filled using their PC reconstructed values. Gap channels are filled with a simpler buddy algorithm. The Level 1C spectrum is not a substitute for Level 1B (which will remain the primary AIRS Level 1 product), but an enhancement for users who depend on the availability of outlier-free and gap-free spectra with monotonically increasing frequency for broadband integration and frequency shifting.
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Appendix A: Buddy Replacement Training Set

A.1 The Night-Time Clear-Sky Buddy Training Set

While the AIRS spectrum consists of 2378 independent spectral channels, the information content of the spectrum as a whole is much less. For all AIRS channels, there exist other AIRS channels that are radiometrically similar. We can therefore replace the radiance of known bad or noisy channels with ones computed using these approximately equivalent channels or “buddies”.

We prefer to select buddies for each channel by finding channels with similar statistics over a representative set of observed spectra. However, for our seven permanently bad channels and about 100 others that are dynamically bad on the day used for this set, we can not do this. So these channels are first replaced using synthetic (simulated) spectra, then we can produce a training set with all channels good for training the final buddy replacement list and the principal component step.

The first training set is derived from AIRS night-time clear-sky spectra model simulation by the UMBC radiation transfer model with 49 climatology spectra at satellite zenith angles 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 degrees. Some of the spectra are shown in Figure A1.

![Figure A1. Examples of night-time clear-sky training sets.](image)

A.2 The Global Buddy Training Set

The second training set (Figure A2) uses real AIRS spectra observed on January 1, 2008. There are 21,502 profiles in this training set, and they include day-time and night-time spectra, cloudy and dust cases. This was the largest number of samples in the training set that the PCA training algorithm could accommodate. The spectra were selected such as to represent all the factors that may affect the measurement. Each of the 21,502 spectra was repaired and filled by
the first set “buddy” replacement process. Some of the spectra are shown in Figure A2. This training set was used to find the new set of “buddies” which will be used in L1C process.

![Figure A2](image.png)

Figure A2. Example of second training sets.

The first step in selection of profiles for inclusion in the training set was to evaluate the median brightness temperature (BT) for each of the 17-detector module for each spectrum. See the Level-1B ATBD for more information on detector modules. Then the median of those medians was calculated as the overall median BT for the spectrum. The key parameters for distinguishing profiles were:

1) overall median BT
2) Delta BT between module M-12 (649-682 cm\(^{-1}\)) BT and overall median BT
3) Delta BT between module M-01b (2300-2422 cm\(^{-1}\)) BT and overall median BT
4) Delta BT between module M-01a (2546-2665 cm\(^{-1}\)) BT and overall median BT
5) Delta BT between module M-02a (2446-2564 cm\(^{-1}\)) BT and overall median BT
6) Delta BT between module M-04d (1217-1272 cm\(^{-1}\)) BT and overall median BT

6-dimensional bins were created by dividing each parameter into intervals:

1) overall median BT: 2K bins
2-5) delta M-12 through delta M-02a: range of values encountered is divided into 12 equal bins
7) delta M-04d: range of values encountered is divided into 8 equal bins

Then from each non-empty bin, one spectrum was selected at random. Assuming the overall median BT covers a span of about 100 K, this gives 50*12*12*12*8 \(\approx\) 8 million bins, but most combinations are empty.
Appendix B: PCA Training Set

The training set used for PCA is a composite of two separate sets derived from simulated artifact-free spectra from 2 sources. The composite overcomes the shortcomings of earlier PCA sets trained on just one or the other. The UMBC set alone failed for desert cases, while the NASA Langley set was weak in the shortwave region for day cases.

Appendix B.1: UMBC PC training set

A training set was received from Larrabee Strow and Sergio DeSouza of UMBC and placed at JPL in /asl/data/rtprod_airs/2009/03/01/JPL2834/. This set represents an entire day of simulated data with 240 six-minute granule files in RTP format. In order to accommodate the use of this data for training a PC set which can be applied to AIRS data throughout the AIRS mission, spectra in the set have different CO2 levels and spectral shifts over the entire expected range. These spectra have 2834 channels representing the 2378 AIRS instrument channels and 456 synthetic channels in spectral gaps. For the generation of this training set we used only the original 2378 channels.

Evan Manning wrote the IDL program /home/evan/L1C/global_spectra2.pro to extract from this day of data a PC training set of about 10,000 representative spectra. This program traverses the spectra in time order and assigns to each spectrum a tag which is combined of the following elements:

1) Day vs Night (the divide is solar zenith angle = 90) (2 bins)
2) Land/Sea + Latitude band. 30-degree bins. non-polar bins are divided into land vs sea. Sea has landfrac < 1%. (10 bins)
3) BT1231 (brightness temperature at 1231 cm-1 in 10K increments from [170, 180) to [340, 360) ) (19 bins)
4) Tsurf-BT1231 (cloud impact in 10K increments from [-40, -30) to [200, 210) ) (26 bins)
5) for each of 5 bands, BTband-BT1231 in 10K increments from [-110, -100) to [100, 110) The bands are [650, 800], [800, 1200), [1200, 1700), [1700, 2400), and [2400, 2700) cm-1. (20 bins per band)

This allows for up to 2*10*19*26*20^5 ~~ 30 billion bins but of course most bins are empty.

Each spectrum's tag is compared to the tags of the spectra previously collected. If the tag does not match any then the new spectrum is added to the training set. Note that this procedure makes no explicit provision to ensure that the training set represents the full range of scan angles, CO2 levels, or spectral shifts but seems likely to contain a good mix as long as the input data does.

The resulting training set is train2.2009-03-01f.h5, with 7377 spectra in 100-spectrum objects.

Appendix B.2: The Langley PC training set

A training set was received from Dan Zhou of NASA Langley Research Center and placed at JPL in /home/hha/DanZhou.AIRS.simulation/. It is organized in 84 files with names in the pattern "AIRS_RAD_xx_y1_y2.bin". xx is the SARTA satang for all spectra in that file and [y1, y2] is a range of sunangs. Each file contains 35043 spectra, representing the same 35043 representative geophysical states. The first 26600 spectra are under clear conditions, and the rest are under cloudy conditions. An ancillary file named "data_info.asc" contains lat. (col #1), long. (col #2) and cloud optical depth (col #3).
Evan Manning wrote the IDL program /home/evan/L1C/zhou_training2.pro to extract from this data a PC training set of \( \sim 10,000 \) representative spectra. This program traverses the spectra and assigns to each spectrum a tag which is combined of the following elements:

1) Day vs. Night (Night iff sunang is 95 degrees) (2 bins)
2) Latitude band. 30-degree bins. North and south tropics are combined to a single bin as are north and south midlat. (4 bins)
3) BT1231 (brightness temperature at 1231 cm\(^{-1}\) in 10K increments from [170, 180) to [340, 360)) (19 bins)
4) Cloud optical depth, \( \{ 0.0, 0.1, [0.1, 0.3), [0.3, 1.0), [1.0, 3.0), \geq 3.0 \} \) (5 bins)
5) for each of 5 bands, BT\text{\textsubscript{band}}-BT1231 in 10K increments from \([-140, -130) \) to \([130, 140) \) The bands are \([650, 800], [800, 1200), [1200, 1700), [1700, 2400),\) and \([2400, 2700) \text{ cm}^{-1}\). (28 bins per band)

This allows for up to \( 2 \times 4 \times 19 \times 5 \times 28^5 \sim 13 \) billion bins but of course most bins are empty.

Each spectrum's tag is compared to the tags of the spectra previously collected. If the tag does not match any then the new spectrum is added to the training set. The order of file traversal is manually set to put the most extreme scan angles and solar zenith angles first:

`'AIRS_RAD_00_00_30.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_60_75_90.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_00_75_90.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_60_00_30.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_40_75_90.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_60_45_60.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_00_45_60.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_20_00_30.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_20_75_90.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_40_00_30.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_40_45_60.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_20_45_60.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_00_95_95.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_60_95_95.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_40_95_95.bin',`
`'AIRS_RAD_20_95_95.bin'`

The resulting training set is zhoutrain2.h5, with 13021 spectra in 100-spectrum objects.
Appendix C: Spectral Shift

Spectral shifting is not supported in the v6.0 release of AIRS L1C. This section details a candidate algorithm that is currently implemented in software but disabled operationally.

The overall positions of the AIRS channels are set with the grating model y-offset, which is defined as an offset distance (micrometers) in the y-axis (dispersed) direction on the focal plane. This y-offset is added to the nominal y-position for the 2378 channels and then run through the AIRS grating model to generate channel frequencies.

Analysis of the AIRS radiances showed where the channels were at -13.5 µm in September 2002 and November 2003. The grating model y-offset is calibrated to channel frequency for a reference grating temperature 155.1325 K. But, for unknown reasons, at least two of the AIRS modules have shifted a little after launch. The frequency shift (Figure 2) is not only season but also latitude dependent. By regression analysis of the measured frequency shift from 2002 to 2010 the empirical prediction of the frequency shift has been determined by Strow and Hannon from UMBC (ref).

The frequency shift is about 8 ppm in ten years, this could introduce 0.3 K bias in brightness temperature and may cause confusion for users. Since the frequency shift is minor, we can determine the corrected spectrum using formula (C1)

\[ R = R_0 + \frac{dR}{d\nu} \cdot \Delta \nu \quad \text{(C1)} \]

where \( R \) is the radiance at frequency \( \nu \) after the frequency shift, \( R_0 \) is the spectrum before the shift, \( \Delta \nu \) represents the frequency shift amount, \( \frac{dR}{d\nu} \) is the derivative of the spectrum at frequency \( \nu \). The \( \frac{dR}{d\nu} \) is calculated from the grating model with different y-offset. The grating model provides two series of spectra with y-offset -14 µm and -15 µm respectively. Figure C1 shows the \( \frac{dR}{d\nu} \) (y-axis) calculated for the shift from the nominal -14 µm to the -15 µm shift (black line), and for the shift from the nominal -15 µm to the -14 µm shift (blue line) versus the derivative calculated from the spline interpolation (x-axis). The linear fit to the data is expressed in Eq. (C2), which shows the linear relationship between the calculated derivative and the derivative from spline interpretation. This relationship is critical to our frequency shift calculation since the slope or derivative is different for different spectrum so that the spline derivative has to be calculated dynamically. Finally the shifted spectrum is shown in Eq. (C3).

\[ \frac{dR}{d\nu} = a \cdot \frac{dR_s}{d\nu} + b \quad \text{(C2)} \]

\[ R = R_0 + (a \cdot \frac{dR_s}{d\nu} + b) \cdot \Delta \nu \quad \text{(C3)} \]
The shift parameters $a$ and $b$ are frequency dependent variables, their values for each channel are stored in the look up table.

![Scattering plot of the calculated spectrum derivative vs. the derivative from -14 µm to -15 µm shift (black line) and -15 µm to -14 µm shift (blue line). Red line is the linear fit to the data.](image)

Figure C1. Scattering plot of the calculated spectrum derivative vs. the derivative from -14 µm to -15 µm shift (black line) and -15 µm to -14 µm shift (blue line). Red line is the linear fit to the data.

The model spectra are used to validate the algorithm. Figure C2 indicates that the averaged brightness temperature difference between shifted and true spectrum is much smaller around 5 mK as comparing to other algorithm with difference around 50 mK such as spline fit only. The distribution of the difference clearly shows that our algorithm is better than simple spline re-sampling method and no correction.

![Averaged brightness temperature difference between shifted and original spectrum (left panel) and its distribution (right panel) of the brightness temperature vs. frequency from model spectra with no resampling (black dot or line), spline fit (blue dot or line), and spline fit with bias removed (green dot or line).](image)

Figure C2. Averaged brightness temperature difference between shifted and original spectrum (left panel) and its distribution (right panel) of the brightness temperature vs. frequency from model spectra with no resampling (black dot or line), spline fit (blue dot or line), and spline fit with bias removed (green dot or line).
Figure C3 presents that the root mean square difference (RMS) of the brightness temperature between shifted and true spectrum is much smaller around 1 mK as compared to the difference around 10 mK for spline fit. The distribution of the difference also shows that our algorithm improve the final spectrum.

![Graph](image)

Figure C3. Root mean square difference (RMS) of the brightness temperature between shifted and original spectrum (left panel) and its distribution (right panel) of the brightness temperature vs. frequency from model spectra with no resampling (black dot or line), spline fit (blue dot or line), and spline fit with bias removed (green dot or line).
Appendix D: Static channel quality check

The flagging of channels statically (without comparison to a PC reconstructed spectrum) is based on a series of conditions, detailed below. Key inputs are noise levels, quality flags, and whether or not a channel has a fill value from L1B. A configuration file flags additional channels for exclusion when they would not be caught automatically. Some of these channels are marked permanently bad because they have been unusable since the launch of the AIRS.

The NEdT is used as the primary quality check. Level-1B determines noise from the jitter among the downlinked observations of space and of the blackbody for every data granule (6 minutes). An NEdT of -9999 is a flag value, indicating that there were no valid observations for one or both of these calibration sources. These are referred to as “dead” channels.

Channel replacement is required whenever:
1) Its NEdT at 250 K scene temperature exceeds a threshold (currently 0.85 K). Channels with more than 0.85 K of noise are likely to have >3 K outliers just from Gaussian distribution statistics.
2) Its NEdT at 250 K exceeds a threshold (currently 3.0) times the baseline NEdT. The baseline NEdTs are contained in a fixed ancillary file. The baseline is multiplied by an additional factor of sqrt(2) for channels in A-Only or B-Only modes. As with check #1, those channels with higher NEdT compared to a baseline are more likely to be non-Gaussian or biased. Figure D1 shows baseline NEdT.
3) NEdT is negative (indicating noise could not be characterized).
4) L1B provides no calibrated radiance value, i.e. radiance value in L1B is the flag value of -9999. In addition to the same cases caught by the negative NEdT test, this test will flag cases where the individual readings have saturated, caused either by cosmic ray hits or by bright glints.
5) L1B radiance is out of a configurable range of expected geophysical values. Currently this range is [170, 420] K, with an additional margin of 5 times the channel’s noise level. This test is also designed to catch extreme values from cosmic ray hits.
6) Channels are on a list of permanently or temporarily bad detectors. These include five cross-wired channels and others that have been determined in testing to have undesirable characteristics but are not flagged according to the criteria above.

Channels not meeting the above conditions can instead be marked as suspect if they meet any of the following conditions. Suspect channels are not automatically replaced but are excluded from use in replacing other channels and have a lower threshold for dynamic replacement:
1) NEdT at 250 K exceeds a threshold (currently 0.70 K).
2) NEdT at 250 K exceeds a threshold (currently 1.75) times the baseline NEdT for the module and channel A/B state.
3) Observed radiance is negative. Negative radiances are expected in the shortwave region for very cold scenes, but are still suspect.
4) L1B CalFlag indicates a problem with gain or offset calculations, bad telemetry, or a “pop” event. Only pop events are common. When a pop occurs the zero level from the detector has changed between the observation of space before the current scan and the one after. There is therefore an additional uncertainty in the calibration.
5) AB_State from the current channel properties file marks the channel lower quality with state > 2. These states have been judged to be low quality by the AIRS calibration team.
6) Cij in channel properties file is less than a specified value (currently 0.92) indicating the channel is not well aligned spatially with the nominal boresight.

Figure D1. Baseline NEdT (K) for each frequency. Baseline NEdT is the expected performance for a given frequency for channels that are not noisy.
Appendix E: Spatial inhomogeneity

All instantaneous spatial responses are basically circular and coaxial, but within this circle some channels are more sensitive on one side and others on the other. These differences are generally quantified in 2 ways, first with X and Y centroids, giving the offset of the center-of-mass for a given channel from the nominal boresight in each direction. The second way is with Cij, the coregistration of the ith and jth channels. Most commonly we report the coregistration with channel #2113.

The spatial misalignment matters only when scenes are spatially inhomogeneous. ‘Cij’ is used below as shorthand for the distortion of the spectra caused by this inhomogeneity.

The spatial sensitivity of each channel is related to how the sensors are arranged on the focal plane of the AIRS instrument. Detectors are physically located on 19 separate detector modules (Figure 1). Optics guide different wavelengths of light to different detectors. Thus in some cases spectrally adjacent channels are physically separate, and Cij effects are most pronounced when we look across these boundaries.

The magnitude of Cij distortion varies greatly from scene to scene, so it is necessary to have a metric of Cij in order to apply tight correction criteria only to Cij-impacted scenes. Cij effects are strongest for channels at the longwave end of the M-08 detector module, with frequencies near 850 cm⁻¹, so this region is used for the metric. We define metric Inhomo850 as follows:

1. Determine the ten “good” channels closest to the longwave end of M-08. Good channels are channels which are not bad according to the criteria in section 2.1 and also are not suspect, unless they are only suspect because of their Cij values.
2. Calculate the mean over these ten channels of (BT1B – BTpca). Call this dBm8.
3. Calculate the mean over these ten channels of BTpca. Call this BTm8.
4. Determine the ten “good” channels closest to the shortwave end of M-09.
5. Calculate the mean over these ten channels of (BT1B – BTpca). Call this dBm9.
6. Calculate the mean over these ten channels of BTpca. Call this BTm9.
7. Calculate mean BT near 850: BT850 = (BTm8 + BTm9) / 2
8. RawInhomo850 is dBm9 - dBm8.
9. In order to prevent Inhomo850 from getting too large for cold scenes, calculate: CijFactor = dBdT(BT850, 850) / dBdT(250, 850)
Where dBdT is the slope of the Planck function for the given BT and frequency. If BT850 is > 250 K, set CijFactor to 1.0
10. Inhomo850 = RawInhomo850 * CijFactor

Inhomo850 is a mean brightness temperature difference in K, and represents the mean magnitude of the Cij effect over the most impacted channels. It is output in the L1C product files. Any scene with |Inhomo850| > ~0.84 K is considered Cij-impacted and any scene with |Inhomo850| > ~1.69 K is strongly impacted.

The dynamic check for Cij related outliers is applied only to the approximately 5% of scenes where |Inhomo850| exceeds a threshold (currently 0.84 K). For scenes that are not extremely inhomogeneous (Currently 0.84 K < |Inhomo850| < 2.96), these tests apply only to channels known to be susceptible to Cij and that are currently marked with an “AB_State” that puts the channel in a vulnerable condition (Table E1). For scenes that are extremely inhomogeneous (currently 2.96 < |Inhomo850|), all channels are subject to these tests. In these cases the entire spectrum is so impacted that the resulting spectrum should be used only with caution.
Table E1 gives the Cij-sensitive frequency ranges. These are generally near the ends of detector modules where the optical path is furthest from the center. In some cases not all channels within a frequency range are vulnerable, only those with a particular set of ‘AB_State’s. For 745-785 cm\(^{-1}\), there is little risk for channels in AB_State 0, indicating a good channel using both the A and B detectors, but channels that either use only one side or are of lower quality are vulnerable. In the remaining ranges all channels are sensitive no matter what AB_State is. Figure E1 shows the sensitive regions superimposed on a typical spectrum.

Table E1. Cij frequency ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency range (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>AB_State conditions</th>
<th>Modules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>745 - 845</td>
<td>AB_State not 0</td>
<td>Shortwave end of M-10, Longwave end of M-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>845 - 877</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shortwave end of M-09, Longwave end of M-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877 - 895</td>
<td>AB_State not 0</td>
<td>Mid M-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>895 - 925</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shortwave end of M-08, Longwave end of M-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>925 - 970</td>
<td>AB_State not 0</td>
<td>Mid M-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>970 - 986</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shortwave end of M-07, Longwave end of M-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>986 - 1140</td>
<td>AB_State not 0</td>
<td>Shortwave end of M-06, All of M-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200 - 1225</td>
<td></td>
<td>Longwave end of M-04d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1338 - 1360</td>
<td></td>
<td>Longwave end of M-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure E1. Cij-sensitive spectral ranges. Pink shading: all channels are sensitive. Blue shading: A-Only and B-Only channels are sensitive but not A+B. Red diamonds: the most sensitive channels.

Figure E2 shows the data on which Table E1 is based. One day of data was compared to PC reconstruction and the margin needed to ensure only 1 in 100,000 valid observations was flagged was calculated, separately for non-Cij scenes and for scenes with significant Cij. The difference is a measure of how important Cij is for each channel. Because channels can change between A-Only, B-Only, and A+B states as gain tables are uploaded over the mission, Cij sensitivity in each frequency range is keyed to current A/B state instead of static.

Note that while the shortwave region with frequency > 2375 cm$^{-1}$ shows some symptoms of Cij in Figure E2, it is not included in the frequency ranges listed in Table E1. This is because other testing suggests that the main problems here are either poor representation of solar effects and desert emissivity in the PCA training set or instrument calibration problems, not Cij.
Figure E2. Estimated degradation from Cij effects depending on whether channels are A-Only, B-Only, or A+B

For scenes and channels that fit the criteria for Cij, we set the dBT threshold $BT_{thresh_{Cij}}$ to 1.0 K if $|inhomo850|$ is in [0.84, 1.69) K or 0.7 K if $|inhomo850|$ is >1.69 K. Channels are flagged for replacement with reconstructed values if $dBT > BT_{thresh_{Cij}} / CijFactor$. For scenes with low spatial inhomogeneity ($0.28 < |inhomo850| < 0.84$), only the most Cij-sensitive channels (red diamonds in figure E1) are subject to this test.